



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,924	11/21/2003	Marc M. Baum	1142-P0001	3349
36067 7590 04/21/2008 DALINA LAW GROUP, P.C. 7910 IVANHOE AVE. #325 LA JOLLA, CA 92037			EXAMINER KURTZ, BENJAMIN M	
			ART UNIT 1797	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/21/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/719,924	Applicant(s) BAUM ET AL.	
	Examiner BENJAMIN KURTZ	Art Unit 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BENJAMIN KURTZ.

(3) Joseph Mayo.

(2) Cynthia Casby.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ghalib US 6 503 404 and Putz et al. US 5 980 736.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants proposed a possible amendment to the claim stating the dispenser unit being inline to the inlet of the storm water management infrastructure. Also a possible limitation to the control structure to differentiate from the tank structures in the cited prior art. Applicants proposed sending a set of proposed amendments to the examiner and the possibility of having the examiner do an examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Krishnan S Menon/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required